



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/239,659	01/29/1999	THOMAS A. DYE	5143-01700	6412
7590 10/25/2004			EXAMINER	
	rest & Minick P. C.			
P. O. Box 5078	4	•		
Dallas, TX 75	250-0784		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	09/239,659	DYE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hong C Kim	2186		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>13 May 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

••	ш		ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page d line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	e brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	\boxtimes	A s	ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	\boxtimes	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.	\boxtimes	The	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Oth	ner (including any explanation in support of the above items):

It appears that claim 57 should be deleted from the appendix since claim 57 had been canceled by the applicants. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 6/11/2003 is being considered by the examiner. Applicants are advised to review enclosed references before responding this office action (specifically, a memory controller including a compressor/decompressor is disclosed by several references see JP405204747 and USP 4987541, Fig. 1 Ref. 23). Also applicants are advised to check with new rule 35 CFR 41.37 before responding this office action, since appeal briefs filed after 9/13/2004 must comply with 41.37 format.

HONG CHONG KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER

5 (m 10/6/04